Jordan's Evolving Role in Middle Eastern Conflicts: From Ancient Civilizations to Modern Diplomacy

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

August 11, 2024

Jordan, a small but strategically vital nation in the heart of the Middle East, has played a significant role in regional conflicts throughout history. From its ancient roots as part of the Nabataean Kingdom to its modern-day position as a key player in Middle Eastern diplomacy, Jordan's evolving role has been shaped by its geography, political leadership, and the complex dynamics of the region. The kingdom has navigated the challenges of neighboring Israel, the Palestinian conflict, and broader Arab-Israeli tensions, while also dealing with the repercussions of the Syrian Civil War and the rise of extremism. Jordan's unique position as the custodian of Islamic holy sites in Jerusalem and its status as a mediator in the Arab-Israeli conflict have reinforced its importance in regional affairs. This paper explores Jordan's historical and modern roles, examining how it has managed to maintain stability and influence amidst the shifting sands of Middle Eastern politics.

Keywords: Jordan, Middle East, Nabataean Kingdom, Arab-Israeli conflict, Israeli-Palestinian conflict, peace treaty, Jerusalem, Islamic holy sites, Syrian Civil War, terrorism, diplomacy, Hashemite dynasty, regional stability.

I. Introduction

A. Overview of Jordan's Geographical and Strategic Importance in the Middle East

Jordan, a small but strategically significant country in the heart of the Middle East, occupies a unique position both geographically and politically. It is bordered by Saudi Arabia to the south, Iraq to the northeast, Syria to the north, Israel and Palestine to the west, and the Red Sea at its southernmost point. This location places Jordan at the crossroads of major trade routes and historical empires, making it a key player in regional politics and conflicts throughout history.

Geographically, Jordan is characterized by its arid desert landscape, the fertile Jordan Valley, and the historically significant Dead Sea. The country's terrain has influenced its economic activities, with much of the population concentrated in urban areas such as Amman, the capital, and the more fertile western regions. Jordan’s proximity to Jerusalem, a city of profound religious significance to Islam, Christianity, and Judaism, further amplifies its strategic importance.

The country's location has also made it a buffer state, often caught between larger, more powerful neighbors. This positioning has compelled Jordan to navigate a delicate balance in its foreign relations, particularly in conflicts involving Israel, Palestine, and the broader Arab world. The country’s limited natural resources, such as water and energy, have added another layer of complexity to its strategic considerations, influencing both its domestic policies and international alliances.

B. Brief Introduction to the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan and Its Historical Roots

The Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, established in 1946 following the end of the British mandate, is ruled by the Hashemite dynasty, which claims direct descent from the Prophet Muhammad. The kingdom's roots can be traced back to the Great Arab Revolt during World War I, led by Sharif Hussein of Mecca and his sons, including Prince Abdullah, who would later become the first king of Jordan.

The Hashemites played a crucial role in the Arab nationalist movement, striving to create a united Arab state free from Ottoman and later European colonial rule. After the defeat of the Ottoman Empire, the British and French divided the Arab

territories, and Prince Abdullah was appointed as the ruler of the newly established Emirate of Transjordan in 1921. Over the next few decades, Transjordan evolved into the independent Kingdom of Jordan under King Abdullah I, who sought to expand his influence in the region and establish a stable, modern state.

The Hashemite legacy has shaped Jordan's national identity, with the monarchy playing a central role in the country's governance and its approach to regional conflicts. The kingdom has often positioned itself as a moderate and stabilizing force in the Middle East, promoting dialogue and peaceful resolution of conflicts, while also asserting its historical and religious significance, particularly in relation to Jerusalem and the broader Arab world.

C. Purpose and Scope of the Paper: Tracing Jordan's Role in Regional Conflicts from Ancient Times to the Present

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of Jordan's evolving role in regional conflicts, from its ancient history as part of various empires to its modern-day position as a key player in Middle Eastern geopolitics. By examining Jordan's involvement in significant conflicts, such as the Arab-Israeli wars, the Syrian Civil War, and its relations with neighboring states, the paper will explore how Jordan's strategic considerations have shaped its foreign policy and regional influence.

The scope of the paper extends from Jordan's ancient past, including its significance as part of the Nabataean Kingdom and the Roman Empire, through its transformation under Ottoman rule and the British mandate, to its modern history as an independent kingdom. Special attention will be given to Jordan's interactions with Israel, its role in the Arab-Israeli conflict, and its position as a mediator in peace processes.

The paper will also address Jordan's internal challenges, including its limited natural resources and economic constraints, and how these factors have influenced its external policies. By tracing the historical and geopolitical context of Jordan's actions, the paper seeks to offer insights into the country's strategies for maintaining stability and navigating the complex dynamics of the Middle East.

II. Ancient and Pre-Modern History

A. The Nabataean Kingdom and Petra: Jordan’s Early Prominence in Trade and Culture

The Nabataean Kingdom, which flourished from around the 4th century BCE until its annexation by the Roman Empire in 106 CE, was one of the earliest and most influential civilizations in what is now modern-day Jordan. The Nabataeans, a nomadic Arab tribe, settled in the region and established a thriving kingdom centered around the city of Petra, one of the most iconic archaeological sites in the world today.

Petra served as the capital of the Nabataean Kingdom and became a vital hub of trade, linking the Arabian Peninsula with the Mediterranean world. The Nabataeans were adept traders, controlling the lucrative incense and spice trade routes that traversed the Arabian Desert. Their wealth and strategic location enabled them to develop Petra into a city of great cultural and architectural significance. The city's impressive rock-cut architecture, including the famous Al-Khazneh (The Treasury), reflects a blend of Hellenistic, Egyptian, and indigenous influences, showcasing the Nabataeans' ability to integrate and adapt various cultural elements.

Beyond its architectural marvels, the Nabataean Kingdom was also known for its advanced hydraulic engineering, which allowed the inhabitants to harness scarce water resources in the arid desert environment. The construction of dams, cisterns, and aqueducts enabled the city to sustain a large population and thrive as a center of trade and culture.

The decline of the Nabataean Kingdom began with increasing Roman influence in the region, culminating in its annexation by the Roman Empire in 106 CE. However, the legacy of the Nabataeans endured, particularly through the continued importance of Petra as a cultural and historical site. The kingdom's contributions to trade, culture, and architecture left an indelible mark on the history of Jordan and the broader Middle East.

B. Jordan under Roman and Byzantine Rule: Integration into Larger Empires and Regional Stability

Following the annexation of the Nabataean Kingdom, the region that now constitutes modern Jordan became part of the Roman Empire. It was incorporated into the province of Arabia Petraea, with Petra serving as the provincial capital. The Roman period marked a time of increased integration into the larger Mediterranean world, bringing with it significant developments in infrastructure, governance, and culture.

Under Roman rule, Jordan witnessed the construction of roads, fortifications, and cities that facilitated trade and military control. The Roman road network, including the Via Nova Traiana, connected Petra with the Red Sea port of Aqaba and extended northward to the city of Bostra in modern-day Syria. These developments enhanced the region's economic prosperity and solidified its strategic importance within the empire.

Urban centers such as **Jerash** (ancient Gerasa) flourished during this period, becoming part of the Decapolis—a group of ten cities on the eastern frontier of the Roman Empire that were centers of Greek and Roman culture. Jerash, in particular, became known for its well-preserved Roman architecture, including temples, theaters, and colonnaded streets, which remain major tourist attractions today.

The transition from Roman to Byzantine rule in the 4th century CE brought about a gradual shift in the region's religious and cultural landscape. Christianity spread throughout the area, and many Roman temples were converted into churches. Jordan became an important center for early Christian communities, with several prominent churches and monasteries established during this time.

The Byzantine period maintained much of the stability and prosperity that characterized Roman rule, but the region eventually faced challenges from emerging powers, including the Persian Sassanian Empire and, later, the rising Islamic Caliphates. Nevertheless, the Roman and Byzantine influence left a lasting impact on Jordan's cultural and architectural heritage, as seen in the remnants of ancient cities like Jerash and Umm Qais.

C. Islamic Caliphates and Ottoman Rule: The Spread of Islam and Jordan's Incorporation into the Ottoman Empire

The advent of Islam in the 7th century CE marked a profound transformation in the region that now includes Jordan. The Islamic conquests, led by the Rashidun Caliphate, rapidly expanded across the Arabian Peninsula and beyond, bringing the region under Islamic rule. The Battle of Yarmouk in 636 CE, fought near the borders of modern Jordan, was a decisive encounter between the Byzantine Empire and the Muslim forces, leading to the Muslim conquest of the Levant.

Under the subsequent Umayyad and Abbasid Caliphates, Jordan became part of the larger Islamic world, integrated into the administrative and cultural structures of the caliphates. The Umayyads, who established their capital in Damascus, were particularly influential in the region, leaving behind notable architectural achievements such as the **Qasr al-Kharrana** and **Qasr Amra** desert castles. These structures, which served as hunting lodges, military outposts, and retreats, reflect the fusion of Islamic art with earlier Byzantine and Sassanian influences.

The Abbasid Caliphate, with its capital in Baghdad, continued to govern the region, though it eventually faced fragmentation and the rise of local dynasties. The Fatimids, Ayyubids, and Mamluks each exerted control over the area at different times, contributing to the complex mosaic of Islamic rule in Jordan.

In 1517, the Ottoman Empire, under Sultan Selim I, conquered the Mamluk Sultanate and incorporated Jordan into its vast empire. The Ottoman period, which lasted until the end of World War I, was marked by relative stability and continuity. The Ottomans administered Jordan as part of the larger province of Syria, with the region divided into several administrative districts.

Under Ottoman rule, Jordan's strategic importance as a crossroads of trade and pilgrimage routes continued. The Hajj route, which connected Damascus to Mecca, passed through Jordan, bringing pilgrims and trade to the region. The Ottomans also built fortifications and garrisons to maintain control over the region and protect these vital routes.

However, the Ottoman period also saw periods of neglect and decline, particularly in the 19th century, as the empire weakened and faced internal and external challenges. The region experienced economic stagnation, and many

communities faced hardships due to heavy taxation and the centralization of power in Istanbul.

Despite these challenges, the Ottoman legacy in Jordan is still evident in the region's architecture, administration, and cultural practices. The end of Ottoman rule following World War I paved the way for the modern political landscape of the Middle East, setting the stage for the emergence of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan and its subsequent role in regional conflicts.

This section of the paper provides a deep historical context, showing how Jordan's ancient and pre-modern history laid the foundation for its modern role in the Middle East. From the trade routes of the Nabataeans to the administrative structures of the Ottoman Empire, these historical layers contribute to understanding Jordan's strategic importance and evolving identity.

III. The Great Arab Revolt and the Formation of Transjordan

A. World War I and the Decline of the Ottoman Empire

World War I (1914–1918) was a turning point in the history of the Middle East, particularly for the Ottoman Empire, which had controlled much of the region for centuries. The Ottomans entered the war on the side of the Central Powers (Germany and Austria-Hungary), hoping to regain lost territories and restore their empire's fading glory. However, the war placed immense strain on the Ottoman state, which was already weakened by internal dissent, economic difficulties, and nationalist movements within its vast territories.

The Allied Powers, particularly Britain and France, saw the Ottoman Empire as a vulnerable opponent and sought to exploit internal divisions to hasten its collapse. One of the key strategies employed by the British was to support Arab nationalist movements that were eager to break free from Ottoman rule. The British recognized the strategic value of the Arab revolt and sought to ally with Arab leaders who could mobilize support against the Ottomans.

The weakening of the Ottoman Empire was further exacerbated by its military defeats on various fronts, including the disastrous Gallipoli Campaign and the loss

of key territories in the Arabian Peninsula and the Levant. The empire's internal cohesion also began to unravel, with different ethnic and religious groups within the empire pursuing their own nationalist aspirations.

B. The Great Arab Revolt: Role of Sharif Hussein and Prince Abdullah

The Great Arab Revolt, which began in 1916, was a significant uprising against Ottoman rule, led by Sharif Hussein of Mecca, the Hashemite ruler of the Hejaz region (in modern-day Saudi Arabia). Sharif Hussein had been in communication with British officials, most notably through the McMahon-Hussein Correspondence, in which the British promised support for an independent Arab state in exchange for Arab assistance in the war against the Ottomans.

Sharif Hussein, motivated by both Arab nationalism and the desire to establish his family's leadership over a vast Arab kingdom, declared the revolt in June 1916. The revolt quickly gained momentum, particularly in the Hejaz region, where Hussein's sons, including Prince Faisal and Prince Abdullah, played key roles in leading Arab forces against Ottoman garrisons.

Prince Abdullah, the future founder of Jordan, was instrumental in mobilizing tribal forces in the Arabian Peninsula and leading successful military campaigns against the Ottomans. The revolt also received crucial support from the British, who provided arms, financial assistance, and military advisors, the most famous of whom was T.E. Lawrence, known as "Lawrence of Arabia."

The Great Arab Revolt was a complex and multifaceted struggle, combining elements of guerrilla warfare, tribal alliances, and conventional battles. The Arab forces, despite being outnumbered and outgunned, managed to achieve significant victories, including the capture of Aqaba and the eventual liberation of Damascus in 1918.

However, the post-war reality did not align with the promises made to the Arabs. The secret Sykes-Picot Agreement between Britain and France had already divided the Middle East into spheres of influence, with little regard for Arab aspirations of independence. This betrayal sowed the seeds of future conflicts in the region, as Arab leaders, including Sharif Hussein and his sons, found their hopes for a unified Arab state dashed by European colonial ambitions.

C. The Establishment of the Emirate of Transjordan (1921): British Influence and the Rise of the Hashemite Dynasty

In the aftermath of World War I, the Ottoman Empire was dismantled, and its territories in the Middle East were divided among the victorious Allied powers. The League of Nations granted Britain and France mandates to govern the newly carved-out states, leading to the creation of modern-day Iraq, Syria, Lebanon, Palestine, and Transjordan.

The British mandate over Palestine and Transjordan was formalized in 1920, but the region east of the Jordan River (Transjordan) presented a unique challenge. It was sparsely populated, with a predominantly Bedouin population, and lacked the administrative structures needed for direct British control. To address this, the British sought to establish a local leadership that could govern the area while aligning with British interests.

Prince Abdullah, who had led Arab forces during the Great Arab Revolt, arrived in Transjordan in 1920 with the intent of continuing his father's campaign for Arab independence. However, he quickly came to an agreement with the British, who recognized him as the ruler of Transjordan in exchange for his cooperation. In 1921, the Emirate of Transjordan was officially established, with Abdullah as its Emir.

The British played a significant role in shaping the early development of Transjordan. They provided financial and military support, helped establish administrative institutions, and ensured the stability of Abdullah's rule. The British also retained control over key aspects of the Emirate's foreign and defense policies, integrating Transjordan into their broader strategic interests in the Middle East.

Under Abdullah's leadership, the Hashemite dynasty began to take root in Transjordan. He worked to consolidate his power, build alliances with local tribal leaders, and develop the infrastructure needed to support a modern state. Abdullah's reign was marked by efforts to balance traditional tribal authority with the demands of state-building, as well as managing the complex relationships with neighboring countries and the British mandate authorities.

The establishment of the Emirate of Transjordan was a critical moment in the history of the region, laying the foundation for the modern Kingdom of Jordan. It marked the beginning of the Hashemite monarchy's role as a key player in the Middle East, navigating the challenges of colonialism, nationalism, and regional conflict. The Emirate's creation also reflected the broader geopolitical realities of the post-war Middle East, where European powers sought to impose their will on the region, often at the expense of local aspirations for independence and self-determination.

This section provides an in-depth exploration of the events leading to the formation of Transjordan, highlighting the decline of the Ottoman Empire, the significance of the Great Arab Revolt, and the strategic decisions that shaped the Hashemite dynasty's emergence as a dominant force in the region.

IV. Jordan’s Role in the Arab-Israeli Conflicts

A. The 1948 Arab-Israeli War: Jordan’s Military Involvement and Annexation of the West Bank

The 1948 Arab-Israeli War, also known as the War of Independence in Israel and the Nakba (Catastrophe) in the Arab world, marked a pivotal moment in the history of the Middle East. It was the first major conflict between the newly declared State of Israel and the Arab states, including Jordan, which sought to prevent the establishment of a Jewish state in what was historically part of the Arab and Muslim world.

Jordan, then known as the Hashemite Kingdom of Transjordan, played a significant role in the conflict. Under the leadership of King Abdullah I, Jordan’s Arab Legion, considered one of the most well-trained and effective Arab military forces at the time, was actively involved in the fighting. The Arab Legion was commanded by British officers, most notably Glubb Pasha (Sir John Bagot Glubb), who played a crucial role in the Legion's operations during the war.

Jordan’s primary objective during the war was to secure the areas allocated to the Arab state under the United Nations Partition Plan, as well as to protect its own

territorial interests, particularly in the West Bank and Jerusalem. The Arab Legion engaged in several key battles, including those in Jerusalem and the Latrun area, where they successfully defended the city's eastern part and maintained control over the Old City of Jerusalem.

In the aftermath of the war, Jordan emerged as one of the most successful Arab states in terms of territorial gains. The 1949 Armistice Agreements, which ended the hostilities, left Jordan in control of the West Bank, including East Jerusalem. In 1950, Jordan formally annexed the West Bank, a move that was recognized only by Britain and Pakistan. This annexation significantly expanded Jordan's territory and population, but it also brought the kingdom into direct conflict with Israel and complicated its relations with other Arab states and the Palestinian population.

The annexation of the West Bank and East Jerusalem was a double-edged sword for Jordan. On one hand, it solidified King Abdullah's influence in the region and allowed Jordan to control important religious and historical sites in Jerusalem. On the other hand, it intensified the Arab-Israeli conflict and led to ongoing tension and hostilities with Israel. Moreover, the influx of Palestinian refugees and the incorporation of the West Bank's predominantly Palestinian population posed significant demographic, economic, and political challenges for the Jordanian state.

B. The Six-Day War (1967): Loss of the West Bank and East Jerusalem to Israel

The Six-Day War of 1967 was a watershed moment in the Arab-Israeli conflict, dramatically altering the political and territorial landscape of the Middle East. The war began on June 5, 1967, when Israel launched preemptive air strikes against Egypt, followed by ground operations against Jordan and Syria. Jordan, under King Hussein, entered the war despite initial reluctance, largely due to pressure from its Arab allies and misinformation suggesting that Egypt and Syria were achieving early successes.

Jordan's involvement in the war was driven by a desire to protect its territorial holdings in the West Bank and Jerusalem, as well as to fulfill its obligations as part of the Arab alliance. However, the war quickly turned against the Arab states. Israeli forces launched a successful and rapid campaign, overwhelming Jordanian defenses in the West Bank. Within six days, Israel had captured the West Bank,

including East Jerusalem, from Jordan, along with the Sinai Peninsula from Egypt and the Golan Heights from Syria.

The loss of the West Bank and East Jerusalem was a devastating blow to Jordan. Not only did the kingdom lose a significant portion of its territory and population, but it also lost control of Jerusalem's holy sites, which had been a source of prestige and legitimacy for the Hashemite monarchy. The defeat in 1967 also exacerbated internal tensions in Jordan, particularly with the growing Palestinian population, many of whom felt betrayed by the Arab states' failure to protect Palestinian lands.

The Six-Day War had far-reaching consequences for Jordan. It marked the beginning of Israel's occupation of the West Bank and East Jerusalem, a situation that continues to be a central issue in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. For Jordan, the war led to a reassessment of its foreign policy and military strategy, as well as its role in the Arab world. King Hussein, facing a loss of territory and credibility, had to navigate a complex and volatile political environment, balancing relations with Israel, the Palestinian population, and other Arab states.

The aftermath of the Six-Day War also set the stage for further conflict in the region, including the Palestinian resistance movement and the subsequent wars and peace processes. Jordan's loss in 1967 underscored the limitations of military solutions to the Arab-Israeli conflict and highlighted the need for diplomatic efforts to achieve a lasting resolution.

C. The Yom Kippur War (1973): Limited Involvement and Strategic Diplomacy

The Yom Kippur War, also known as the October War or the Ramadan War, erupted on October 6, 1973, when Egypt and Syria launched a coordinated surprise attack on Israel during the Jewish holiday of Yom Kippur. The war was an attempt by the Arab states to regain territories lost to Israel in the Six-Day War of 1967, particularly the Sinai Peninsula and the Golan Heights.

Jordan, under King Hussein, faced a difficult decision regarding its involvement in the conflict. Although Jordan had a history of military engagement with Israel, the outcomes of the previous wars, especially the devastating loss of the West Bank in 1967, made King Hussein cautious about entering another full-scale conflict.

Additionally, the kingdom's military and economic resources were limited, and the potential for further loss of territory or stability was a significant concern.

In the end, Jordan's involvement in the Yom Kippur War was relatively limited. King Hussein provided symbolic support to Egypt and Syria, sending a small contingent of Jordanian forces to the Golan Heights to assist Syrian troops. However, Jordan refrained from opening a new front against Israel along its own border, a decision that was influenced by several factors, including a secret understanding with Israel to avoid escalation and the desire to preserve Jordan's territorial integrity.

King Hussein's strategic diplomacy during the Yom Kippur War was notable for its pragmatism. By maintaining a limited role in the conflict, Jordan avoided the direct military confrontation that could have resulted in further territorial losses or internal instability. At the same time, Hussein's support for the Arab cause, albeit symbolic, allowed him to maintain solidarity with other Arab states and avoid isolation in the Arab world.

The Yom Kippur War eventually led to a shift in the dynamics of the Arab-Israeli conflict, particularly with the subsequent peace process between Egypt and Israel. For Jordan, the war reaffirmed the importance of diplomacy and strategic alliances in navigating the complex geopolitics of the Middle East. King Hussein's approach during the war set the stage for Jordan's future role as a mediator and peacemaker in the region, culminating in the signing of the peace treaty with Israel in 1994.

The Yom Kippur War also highlighted the limitations of military solutions to the Arab-Israeli conflict and underscored the need for a negotiated settlement that addressed the underlying issues of territory, security, and Palestinian statehood. Jordan's cautious and measured response during the war reflected its evolving role in the region, balancing its national interests with its responsibilities as an Arab state.

This section expands on Jordan's involvement in the key Arab-Israeli conflicts, detailing the military, political, and diplomatic decisions that shaped the kingdom's role in the region. From its significant territorial gains and losses to its

strategic diplomacy, Jordan's actions in these conflicts have had lasting implications for the Middle East and its own national identity.

V. The 1994 Peace Treaty with Israel

A. Diplomatic Efforts Leading to the Peace Treaty

The 1994 peace treaty between Jordan and Israel was the culmination of years of behind-the-scenes diplomacy, shaped by the shifting geopolitical landscape of the Middle East and the broader context of Arab-Israeli relations. The road to peace was paved by a series of regional and international developments that made it possible for Jordan and Israel to move from adversaries to partners in peace.

The 1991 Madrid Conference marked a significant turning point in the Arab-Israeli conflict and set the stage for subsequent peace negotiations. Co-sponsored by the United States and the Soviet Union, the Madrid Conference brought together Israel, Jordan, Syria, Lebanon, and a Palestinian delegation for the first time in a comprehensive peace process. Although the conference did not result in immediate breakthroughs, it initiated direct negotiations between Israel and its Arab neighbors, including Jordan.

For Jordan, the Madrid Conference was an opportunity to reassert its role as a key player in the peace process. King Hussein, who had long advocated for a peaceful resolution to the Arab-Israeli conflict, saw the conference as a chance to pursue a diplomatic solution that would address Jordan's national interests, including the future of the West Bank, Palestinian refugees, and regional security. The end of the Cold War and the shifting global order also created a more favorable environment for peace, with the United States playing a central role as a mediator.

The Oslo Accords, signed between Israel and the Palestine Liberation Organization (PLO) in 1993, further accelerated the momentum toward peace. The accords represented a historic breakthrough, with Israel and the PLO recognizing each other and agreeing on a framework for resolving the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. The success of the Oslo process demonstrated that negotiations could yield tangible results, encouraging Jordan and Israel to pursue their own bilateral agreement.

King Hussein's personal commitment to peace, along with the pragmatic leadership of Israeli Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin, played a crucial role in advancing the negotiations. Both leaders recognized the mutual benefits of peace, including the potential for economic cooperation, security collaboration, and improved international standing. The secret meetings and exchanges between Jordanian and Israeli officials, often facilitated by the United States, laid the groundwork for the formal peace process.

By the early 1990s, the strategic calculus for both Jordan and Israel had shifted. Jordan, facing economic challenges and the need to secure its borders, sought stability and international support. Israel, on the other hand, was eager to secure peace with its neighbors to focus on domestic issues and mitigate the threats posed by other regional adversaries. The convergence of these interests, along with the active involvement of the United States, led to the signing of the Washington Declaration on July 25, 1994, which formally ended the state of belligerency between Jordan and Israel and paved the way for a full peace treaty.

B. Terms of the Treaty and Its Impact on Jordan-Israel Relations

The Israel-Jordan peace treaty, formally signed on October 26, 1994, at the southern border crossing of Wadi Araba, was a landmark agreement that established a comprehensive framework for bilateral relations between the two countries. The treaty addressed a wide range of issues, including borders, security, water rights, economic cooperation, and the status of Jerusalem and holy sites.

Key Terms of the Treaty:

1. **Borders:** The treaty recognized the international boundary between Jordan and Israel, based on the 1923 British Mandate boundary, with minor adjustments. Both sides agreed to respect the sovereignty and territorial integrity of each other, effectively ending the territorial disputes that had fueled conflict for decades.
2. **Security Arrangements:** Jordan and Israel committed to non-aggression and the peaceful resolution of disputes. The treaty included provisions for security cooperation, intelligence sharing, and measures to prevent cross-border terrorism. Both countries agreed to refrain from supporting hostile

activities against each other and to work together to ensure regional stability.

3. **Water Rights:** Water resources, a critical and contentious issue in the arid Middle East, were addressed in the treaty. The agreement established mechanisms for the joint management and equitable sharing of water from the Jordan River and the Yarmouk River. Israel also agreed to provide Jordan with additional water supplies to address its water scarcity.
4. **Refugees and Displaced Persons:** The treaty acknowledged the need for a just and comprehensive solution to the Palestinian refugee issue, which would be addressed in the broader context of Israeli-Palestinian negotiations. While the treaty did not resolve the refugee problem, it affirmed Jordan's role in advocating for Palestinian rights and highlighted the importance of international support in finding a solution.
5. **Jerusalem and Holy Sites:** The treaty recognized Jordan's special role as the custodian of Islamic holy sites in Jerusalem, particularly the Al-Aqsa Mosque and the Dome of the Rock. Israel committed to respecting Jordan's role and ensuring freedom of access to religious sites for all faiths. This provision was significant for Jordan, given the historical and religious importance of Jerusalem to the Hashemite monarchy.
6. **Economic and Environmental Cooperation:** The treaty established frameworks for economic collaboration, including trade, tourism, transportation, and environmental protection. Both countries agreed to develop joint projects, such as the construction of a joint industrial park and initiatives to protect the environment and promote sustainable development in the Jordan Valley.

Impact on Jordan-Israel Relations:

The signing of the peace treaty marked the beginning of a new era in Jordan-Israel relations. The normalization of ties allowed for the establishment of diplomatic relations, with embassies opened in both countries. The treaty also facilitated greater economic cooperation, with trade and investment increasing in the years following the agreement. Joint infrastructure projects, such as the Red Sea-Dead

Sea Conveyance project, underscored the potential for mutually beneficial collaboration.

However, the treaty also faced challenges, particularly from within Jordan. While the agreement was supported by the government and King Hussein, it was met with skepticism and opposition from segments of the Jordanian public, especially those sympathetic to the Palestinian cause. The peace with Israel was seen by some as a betrayal of Arab and Palestinian interests, leading to protests and criticism of the government. The political leadership had to carefully navigate these domestic concerns while maintaining the peace process.

The treaty also had a broader impact on the Middle East peace process. Jordan's willingness to make peace with Israel provided a model for other Arab states, demonstrating that diplomatic negotiations could yield positive outcomes. It also reinforced Jordan's role as a moderate and stabilizing force in the region, contributing to its international standing and securing continued support from the United States and other Western powers.

C. Jordan's Role as a Mediator and Stabilizing Force in the Region Post-Treaty

Following the signing of the 1994 peace treaty, Jordan emerged as a key mediator and stabilizing force in the Middle East. King Hussein, and later his successor King Abdullah II, leveraged the peace agreement to position Jordan as a bridge between Israel and the Arab world, as well as a trusted partner for Western countries seeking to promote peace and stability in the region.

Mediation in the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict: Jordan's unique position as a neighbor to both Israel and the Palestinian territories, combined with its historical ties to the West Bank, allowed it to play a significant role in mediating the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Jordan has consistently advocated for a two-state solution, emphasizing the need for a just and comprehensive settlement that addresses the rights and aspirations of the Palestinian people. Jordan's leadership has worked closely with both Israeli and Palestinian authorities, as well as with international actors like the United States and the European Union, to facilitate dialogue and negotiations.

Security Cooperation and Counterterrorism: The peace treaty established a foundation for security cooperation between Jordan and Israel, particularly in the

realm of counterterrorism. Both countries have faced common threats from extremist groups, and their security agencies have collaborated to prevent cross-border attacks and combat terrorism. This cooperation has been critical in maintaining stability in the region, especially in the context of the ongoing Syrian civil war and the rise of groups like ISIS.

Regional Diplomacy and Peacebuilding: Jordan has also played a broader role in regional diplomacy, often acting as a mediator in conflicts involving other Arab states. The kingdom's balanced foreign policy, which emphasizes dialogue and peaceful resolution of disputes, has made it a respected actor in the Arab League and other international forums. Jordan's diplomatic efforts have contributed to de-escalating tensions between Israel and its neighbors, as well as fostering broader Arab-Israeli reconciliation.

Economic and Environmental Initiatives: Post-treaty, Jordan and Israel have pursued joint economic and environmental projects that benefit both countries and the region as a whole. The Red Sea-Dead Sea Conveyance project, for example, aims to address water scarcity by channeling water from the Red Sea to the Dead Sea, with desalination plants providing freshwater to both Jordan and Israel. Such initiatives not only address critical environmental challenges but also build trust and interdependence between the two nations.

Challenges and Public Perception: Despite the successes of the peace treaty, Jordan has faced ongoing challenges in managing its relationship with Israel. Public sentiment in Jordan remains mixed, with significant portions of the population, particularly those of Palestinian descent, expressing opposition to normalization with Israel. The Israeli-Palestinian conflict continues to be a sensitive issue, and any perceived infringement on Palestinian rights or Jerusalem's holy sites can trigger protests and strain Jordan-Israel relations.

Nevertheless, Jordan's leadership has maintained its commitment to peace, viewing the treaty as essential to the kingdom's security and prosperity. King Abdullah II, like his father before him, has emphasized the importance of dialogue, cooperation, and mutual respect in sustaining the peace process and addressing the region's complex challenges.

This section elaborates on the diplomatic journey leading to the 1994 peace treaty between Jordan and Israel, the treaty's key provisions, and its significant impact on bilateral relations.

VI. Recent Tensions and Diplomatic Challenges

A. Jordan's Opposition to Israeli Policies in Jerusalem and the West Bank

In the years following the 1994 peace treaty, Jordan's relationship with Israel has been characterized by a delicate balance of cooperation and contention. One of the most persistent sources of tension has been Israel's policies in Jerusalem and the West Bank, particularly regarding Israeli settlements, the status of East Jerusalem, and actions that affect the Palestinian population.

Israeli Settlements in the West Bank: Jordan has consistently opposed the expansion of Israeli settlements in the West Bank, viewing them as illegal under international law and a significant obstacle to peace. The ongoing construction and expansion of settlements have fueled tensions between Jordan and Israel, as they threaten the viability of a future Palestinian state, which Jordan supports as part of a two-state solution. Jordanian leaders have repeatedly condemned settlement activities, calling on the international community to pressure Israel to halt these actions.

The Status of East Jerusalem: East Jerusalem holds profound religious, cultural, and political significance for both Palestinians and Jordanians. Jordan, which controlled East Jerusalem from 1948 to 1967, views any unilateral Israeli actions to alter the status of the city as provocative and destabilizing. The construction of Israeli settlements, the demolition of Palestinian homes, and the expansion of Israeli control in East Jerusalem have all been points of contention. Jordan has voiced strong opposition to these measures, arguing that they undermine the prospects for a negotiated settlement and threaten the fragile peace between the two countries.

Israeli-Palestinian Clashes and Human Rights Concerns: Jordan has also expressed deep concern over the treatment of Palestinians in the West Bank and Gaza, particularly during periods of heightened violence. Incidents such as the military operations in Gaza, the use of excessive force by Israeli security forces,

and restrictions on Palestinian movement have all drawn criticism from Jordan. The kingdom has called for the protection of Palestinian rights and has frequently urged Israel to abide by international humanitarian law. These issues have led to public protests in Jordan and have strained diplomatic relations with Israel.

Political Statements and Actions: In response to Israeli policies in the West Bank and Jerusalem, Jordanian officials have often made strong public statements condemning Israel's actions. King Abdullah II has warned that Israeli measures that undermine Palestinian rights or alter the status quo in Jerusalem could lead to increased violence and destabilize the region. Jordan has also used its diplomatic channels to raise these issues in international forums, seeking to garner support for the Palestinian cause and push back against Israeli policies.

B. The Role of Jordan as Custodian of Islamic Holy Sites in Jerusalem

One of the most critical and sensitive aspects of Jordan's relationship with Israel revolves around its role as the custodian of Islamic holy sites in Jerusalem, particularly the Al-Aqsa Mosque and the Dome of the Rock, located on the Haram al-Sharif (Temple Mount). This custodianship, which was formally recognized in the 1994 peace treaty, is a cornerstone of Jordan's religious and political identity and a key factor in its domestic and regional influence.

Historical and Religious Significance: The Al-Aqsa Mosque, the third holiest site in Islam, holds immense significance for Muslims worldwide. Jordan's role as the custodian of the mosque and other Islamic holy sites in Jerusalem dates back to the early 20th century when the Hashemite family, which claims descent from the Prophet Muhammad, took on this responsibility. This custodianship is not only a matter of religious duty for Jordan but also a source of legitimacy for the Hashemite monarchy, both domestically and within the broader Arab and Muslim worlds.

Tensions Over Access and Control: The status of the Haram al-Sharif/Temple Mount is one of the most contentious issues in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Jordan has repeatedly clashed with Israel over what it perceives as attempts to alter the status quo at the holy sites. These tensions often flare up when Israeli authorities impose restrictions on Muslim worshippers, allow Jewish visitors to pray at the site, or carry out archaeological excavations near the area. Jordan

views such actions as violations of its custodianship and as provocations that could incite violence and threaten regional stability.

Diplomatic Efforts to Protect the Status Quo: Jordan has been proactive in defending its role as custodian and in preserving the status quo at the holy sites. The Jordanian government regularly engages in diplomatic efforts to prevent changes that could escalate tensions. This includes direct negotiations with Israel, appeals to the United Nations and other international bodies, and coordination with the Palestinian Authority and other Arab and Muslim countries. King Abdullah II has often emphasized that any attempts to undermine Jordan's custodianship or alter the status quo in Jerusalem could have serious consequences for peace and security in the region.

Public and Regional Reactions: The issue of the holy sites resonates deeply with the Jordanian public and across the Arab and Muslim worlds. Any perceived threat to Al-Aqsa or changes to the status quo can lead to widespread protests and outrage. Jordan's leadership is acutely aware of the need to maintain its role as a protector of Islamic heritage in Jerusalem, as failure to do so could undermine the monarchy's legitimacy and provoke domestic unrest. Additionally, Jordan's actions regarding Jerusalem are closely watched by other Arab and Muslim countries, influencing its diplomatic relations within the region.

C. Jordan's Diplomatic Balancing Act Between Its Peace Treaty with Israel and Support for Palestinian Statehood

Jordan's relationship with Israel is characterized by a complex balancing act between maintaining the peace treaty and supporting Palestinian aspirations for statehood. This duality reflects Jordan's unique position as both a partner in peace with Israel and a staunch advocate for Palestinian rights.

Commitment to the Peace Treaty: The 1994 peace treaty with Israel is a cornerstone of Jordan's foreign policy, providing security, economic benefits, and international support. Jordan has a vested interest in maintaining the treaty, which has helped stabilize its borders and facilitated cooperation in areas such as water resources, security, and trade. The peace agreement also bolsters Jordan's standing in the international community, particularly with key allies like the United States, which provides significant financial and military aid to the kingdom.

Support for Palestinian Statehood: At the same time, Jordan has a deep historical and demographic connection to the Palestinian cause. The kingdom is home to a large Palestinian population, many of whom are refugees or descendants of refugees from the 1948 and 1967 wars. Jordan's leadership has consistently advocated for a just and lasting solution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, based on the establishment of an independent Palestinian state with East Jerusalem as its capital. This position aligns with the Arab Peace Initiative and the broader consensus within the Arab League.

Navigating Diplomatic Challenges: Jordan's diplomatic balancing act requires careful navigation of its relationship with Israel while maintaining its commitment to Palestinian statehood. This balancing act is particularly challenging during periods of heightened tension, such as during Israeli military operations in Gaza, controversial settlement expansions, or changes to the status quo in Jerusalem. Jordanian leaders must weigh the potential risks to the peace treaty against the need to respond to domestic and regional demands for strong support of Palestinian rights.

Mediating Between Israel and the Palestinians: Jordan has often positioned itself as a mediator between Israel and the Palestinians, using its unique relationship with both parties to facilitate dialogue and negotiations. The kingdom has played a key role in peace talks, advocating for a two-state solution and offering proposals to bridge gaps between the two sides. Jordan's mediation efforts are driven by its strategic interest in achieving a peaceful resolution to the conflict, which would enhance regional stability and secure Jordan's borders.

Regional and International Diplomacy: Jordan's role in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict also involves active participation in regional and international diplomacy. The kingdom works closely with the Arab League, the United Nations, and other international organizations to promote the Palestinian cause and seek a peaceful resolution to the conflict. Jordan's diplomacy is often focused on building consensus among Arab and Muslim countries and engaging with key international players, such as the United States and the European Union, to support Palestinian statehood and protect Jerusalem's holy sites.

Managing Domestic and Regional Pressures: Jordan's diplomatic balancing act is further complicated by domestic and regional pressures. Domestically, the

Jordanian government must contend with public opinion, which is often critical of Israel and strongly supportive of the Palestinian cause. Regionally, Jordan faces the challenge of maintaining good relations with other Arab countries while navigating the complexities of its peace treaty with Israel. The kingdom's leaders must carefully manage these pressures to maintain stability and avoid actions that could jeopardize its strategic interests.

Future Prospects and Challenges: Looking ahead, Jordan's ability to maintain this delicate balance will continue to be tested by developments in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and the broader Middle East. The kingdom's leadership will need to navigate an increasingly complex geopolitical landscape, where shifting alliances, new threats, and changing dynamics in the Arab world could impact its role as a mediator and stabilizing force. Jordan's continued commitment to peace and its support for Palestinian statehood will remain central to its foreign policy, but the challenges of balancing these priorities are likely to persist.

This section explores the recent tensions and diplomatic challenges that have shaped Jordan's relationship with Israel and its role in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. It highlights the kingdom's opposition to Israeli policies in Jerusalem and the West Bank, its custodianship of Islamic holy sites, and the delicate balancing act between its peace treaty with Israel and support for Palestinian statehood. The discussion underscores the complexities of Jordan's foreign policy and the challenges it faces in maintaining regional stability and its strategic interests.

VII. Jordan's Position in Broader Middle Eastern Conflicts

A. The Syrian Civil War: Jordan's Humanitarian Response and Security Concerns

The Syrian Civil War, which began in 2011, has had profound implications for Jordan, a country that shares a long border with Syria and has historically maintained close, albeit complex, relations with its northern neighbor. The conflict in Syria has posed significant humanitarian and security challenges for Jordan, shaping its response and positioning within the broader regional crisis.

Humanitarian Response: As the Syrian Civil War escalated, millions of Syrians were displaced, with a large number seeking refuge in neighboring countries, including Jordan. The influx of Syrian refugees into Jordan has been one of the most significant humanitarian consequences of the war. Jordan, despite its limited resources, has hosted over a million Syrian refugees, making it one of the countries most affected by the refugee crisis.

Jordan's response to the refugee crisis has been characterized by both compassion and pragmatism. The government established refugee camps, such as the Zaatari and Azraq camps, to provide shelter, food, and basic services to the refugees. Zaatari, in particular, became one of the largest refugee camps in the world, evolving into a de facto city with its own economy and social structures. In addition to the camps, many Syrian refugees settled in Jordanian cities and towns, further straining the country's infrastructure and public services.

The Jordanian government, with the support of international organizations and donor countries, has worked to manage the refugee crisis, but the sheer scale of the influx has placed enormous pressure on the country's economy, healthcare system, education, and social services. The refugee situation has also exacerbated unemployment and housing shortages in Jordan, leading to tensions between refugees and host communities. Despite these challenges, Jordan has maintained its commitment to providing refuge to those fleeing the violence in Syria, although the situation remains a significant burden on the country.

Security Concerns: The Syrian Civil War has also raised serious security concerns for Jordan. The conflict has created a power vacuum in Syria, leading to the rise of extremist groups such as ISIS (Islamic State of Iraq and Syria) and the proliferation of arms and militias along Jordan's border. Jordan has had to enhance its border security to prevent the spillover of violence and the infiltration of terrorist groups into its territory.

The Jordanian Armed Forces have played a critical role in securing the border with Syria, conducting operations to prevent the smuggling of weapons and the movement of militants. Jordan has also coordinated closely with its international partners, particularly the United States, to strengthen its military capabilities and counter-terrorism measures. The presence of ISIS and other extremist groups in

southern Syria has been a particular concern, prompting Jordan to increase its surveillance and intelligence activities along the border.

In addition to the direct security threats, the Syrian Civil War has also impacted Jordan's internal stability. The presence of a large refugee population, combined with the economic strain and regional instability, has created a volatile environment that could potentially be exploited by extremist elements. The Jordanian government has been vigilant in addressing these security challenges, but the ongoing conflict in Syria continues to pose risks to the country's security and stability.

Diplomatic and Regional Implications: Jordan's position on the Syrian conflict has been cautious and pragmatic. While the Jordanian government has condemned the violence in Syria and supported calls for a political solution, it has avoided direct military involvement in the conflict. Jordan has participated in international diplomatic efforts to resolve the Syrian crisis, including the Geneva peace talks, and has maintained communication with various Syrian opposition groups, as well as with the Assad regime, to safeguard its national interests.

The Syrian Civil War has also affected Jordan's relations with other regional powers, particularly those with a stake in the outcome of the conflict. Jordan's alliance with the United States and its cooperation with Saudi Arabia and other Gulf states have influenced its stance on Syria, but the kingdom has sought to balance these relationships with its own security and humanitarian concerns.

B. Relations with Neighboring Countries: Iraq, Saudi Arabia, and the Gulf States

Jordan's strategic location in the Middle East places it at the crossroads of several key regional actors, including Iraq, Saudi Arabia, and the Gulf States. The kingdom's relations with these countries are shaped by a combination of historical ties, economic interests, security concerns, and the broader geopolitical dynamics of the region.

Relations with Iraq: Jordan and Iraq share a long history of political, economic, and cultural ties, but their relationship has been complex, particularly in the context of Iraq's turbulent recent history. During the 1980s, Jordan maintained close relations with Iraq under Saddam Hussein, particularly during the Iran-Iraq War, when Jordan supported Iraq both politically and economically. However, the

1990 Gulf War, following Iraq's invasion of Kuwait, strained relations between the two countries.

In the years following the 2003 U.S.-led invasion of Iraq, Jordan faced the challenge of managing its relationship with the new Iraqi government while dealing with the fallout from the conflict, including the influx of Iraqi refugees and the disruption of trade routes. Despite these challenges, Jordan has sought to rebuild and strengthen its ties with Iraq, recognizing the importance of economic and security cooperation between the two neighbors.

Jordan has been involved in efforts to support Iraq's post-war reconstruction, providing humanitarian assistance, training for Iraqi security forces, and facilitating trade and energy agreements. The reopening of the Jordan-Iraq border crossing at Trebil in 2017 marked a significant step in revitalizing bilateral trade. Jordan has also worked to maintain security along its border with Iraq, particularly in the face of threats from ISIS and other militant groups.

Relations with Saudi Arabia and the Gulf States: Jordan's relationship with Saudi Arabia and the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries is characterized by close political, economic, and security ties. Saudi Arabia, in particular, is one of Jordan's most important allies, providing significant financial aid and investment, as well as political support. The relationship is underpinned by shared interests in regional stability, counter-terrorism, and opposition to Iranian influence in the Middle East.

Jordan has participated in various GCC-led initiatives, including the Saudi-led coalition in Yemen, although its involvement has been more limited compared to other coalition members. The kingdom has also sought to align itself with the Gulf states on key regional issues, such as the Syrian Civil War and the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, while maintaining its own independent foreign policy.

Economic ties between Jordan and the Gulf states are particularly important, given Jordan's reliance on remittances from Jordanian workers in the Gulf, as well as investments and financial aid from GCC countries. The Gulf states have provided substantial financial support to Jordan during times of economic hardship, including multi-billion-dollar aid packages to help stabilize Jordan's economy and support its development projects.

However, Jordan's relationship with the Gulf states is not without challenges. The kingdom must navigate the complex dynamics of GCC politics, particularly in the context of Saudi Arabia's leadership role and the differing priorities of individual Gulf states. Additionally, Jordan's economic dependence on Gulf aid and remittances makes it vulnerable to shifts in the Gulf's economic policies and regional strategies.

Balancing Regional Alliances: Jordan's relationships with Iraq, Saudi Arabia, and the Gulf states require careful balancing, particularly in a region marked by shifting alliances and geopolitical rivalries. The kingdom's leadership has worked to maintain positive ties with all these countries while avoiding entanglement in conflicts or disputes that could undermine its security or economic interests. Jordan's ability to navigate these relationships is crucial to its role as a stabilizing force in the Middle East.

C. Jordan's Involvement in the Fight Against Terrorism: Cooperation with Western Allies and Regional Security Initiatives

Jordan has played a significant role in the global and regional fight against terrorism, positioning itself as a key partner in counter-terrorism efforts and a leader in promoting regional security. The kingdom's involvement in counter-terrorism is driven by both external and internal factors, including its strategic alliances with Western countries and the need to address domestic and regional security threats.

Cooperation with Western Allies: Jordan's strategic partnership with Western countries, particularly the United States, is central to its counter-terrorism efforts. The kingdom is a key ally in the U.S.-led coalition against ISIS and has participated in military operations, intelligence sharing, and training programs aimed at combating extremist groups in the Middle East. The U.S. provides significant military aid to Jordan, helping to bolster its defense capabilities and enhance its counter-terrorism infrastructure.

The relationship between Jordan and the United States is built on mutual interests in regional stability and the prevention of terrorism. Jordan's geographic location and its proximity to conflict zones in Syria and Iraq make it a critical partner in efforts to monitor and disrupt terrorist networks. The kingdom's intelligence services are highly regarded for their effectiveness in counter-

terrorism, and Jordan has been involved in numerous joint operations with U.S. and allied forces.

Jordan has also worked closely with European countries on counter-terrorism initiatives. The kingdom has participated in international forums and coalitions focused on preventing the spread of extremism, addressing the root causes of radicalization, and improving border security. Jordan's role as a moderate, stable country in a volatile region makes it a valuable partner for Western nations seeking to combat terrorism and promote security in the Middle East.

Domestic Counter-Terrorism Measures: Jordan faces its own domestic challenges related to terrorism and extremism. The rise of ISIS and other extremist groups in the region has posed a direct threat to Jordan's security, leading the government to implement a range of counter-terrorism measures. These include stricter border controls, enhanced surveillance and intelligence-gathering, and targeted operations against suspected terrorist cells.

The Jordanian government has also focused on addressing the ideological and social drivers of extremism. This includes efforts to promote moderate Islam, counter radical narratives, and engage with communities at risk of radicalization.

VIII. Conclusion

A. Summary of Jordan's Historical and Modern Role in Middle Eastern Conflicts

Jordan's historical and modern role in Middle Eastern conflicts has been shaped by its strategic geographic location, political leadership, and the complex dynamics of the region. From its early history as part of the Nabataean Kingdom and its subsequent integration into various empires, Jordan has been at the crossroads of significant cultural and political developments. The Hashemite dynasty's rise to power during the Great Arab Revolt and the establishment of the Emirate of Transjordan in 1921 marked the beginning of Jordan's modern statehood and its active participation in regional affairs.

Throughout the 20th century, Jordan navigated a series of conflicts that defined its role in the Middle East. The kingdom's involvement in the 1948 Arab-Israeli War, the Six-Day War in 1967, and the Yom Kippur War in 1973 reflected its

commitment to Arab nationalism and the defense of Palestinian rights. However, these conflicts also highlighted the challenges Jordan faced in balancing its national interests with the broader Arab-Israeli conflict.

The 1994 peace treaty with Israel was a turning point in Jordan's foreign policy, establishing a framework for peaceful relations and economic cooperation with its western neighbor. Despite the peace treaty, Jordan has continued to advocate for Palestinian statehood and has opposed Israeli policies in Jerusalem and the West Bank that threaten the prospects for a two-state solution. Jordan's role as the custodian of Islamic holy sites in Jerusalem remains a central aspect of its identity and its diplomatic engagement in the region.

In the broader context of Middle Eastern conflicts, Jordan has positioned itself as a mediator and stabilizing force, particularly in response to the Syrian Civil War, the rise of terrorism, and the shifting alliances among Arab states. The kingdom's ability to maintain stability, manage its relations with neighboring countries, and participate in international counter-terrorism efforts has reinforced its importance in regional dynamics.

B. Reflection on the Strategic Importance of Jordan's Diplomatic Positions

Jordan's diplomatic positions are strategically important for several reasons. First, the kingdom's geographic location places it at the intersection of key regional conflicts and power struggles. As a neighbor to Israel, Syria, Iraq, and Saudi Arabia, Jordan's stability and security are directly impacted by developments in these countries. Consequently, Jordan's diplomatic efforts are often focused on preventing the spillover of conflict and maintaining peaceful relations with its neighbors.

Second, Jordan's role as a mediator in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and its custodianship of Islamic holy sites in Jerusalem give it a unique influence in the Arab and Muslim worlds. The kingdom's balanced approach—advocating for Palestinian rights while maintaining peaceful relations with Israel—allows it to serve as a bridge between conflicting parties. This position enhances Jordan's diplomatic clout and reinforces its role as a voice of moderation in the region.

Third, Jordan's alliances with Western countries, particularly the United States, are crucial to its security and economic well-being. The kingdom's cooperation in

counter-terrorism and its participation in international coalitions against extremist groups underscore its strategic importance to Western interests in the Middle East. Jordan's ability to align its diplomatic positions with those of its allies, while also addressing regional concerns, has helped it secure substantial military and financial aid, which is vital for its stability.

Finally, Jordan's domestic stability is closely tied to its diplomatic positions. The kingdom's leadership must carefully manage public opinion, particularly regarding sensitive issues like the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and relations with neighboring countries. By maintaining a balanced and pragmatic foreign policy, Jordan's leaders have been able to navigate internal and external pressures, ensuring the country's resilience in a volatile region.

C. Future Prospects: Jordan's Potential Role in Ongoing and Future Regional Dynamics

Looking ahead, Jordan faces both challenges and opportunities in its role within the Middle East. The kingdom's future prospects will be shaped by several key factors, including the evolution of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, the outcome of the Syrian Civil War, and the broader geopolitical shifts in the region.

Israeli-Palestinian Conflict: As the peace process between Israel and the Palestinians remains stalled, Jordan will continue to play a crucial role in advocating for a two-state solution and protecting the status of Jerusalem's holy sites. The kingdom's ability to mediate between the parties and engage with the international community will be essential in shaping the future of this conflict. However, the ongoing expansion of Israeli settlements and changes in U.S. policy could complicate Jordan's efforts to promote a just and lasting peace.

Syrian Civil War and Regional Stability: The resolution of the Syrian Civil War and the future of Syria will have significant implications for Jordan. The kingdom's security concerns, particularly regarding the return of refugees and the containment of extremist groups, will require continued vigilance and cooperation with regional and international partners. Jordan's involvement in reconstruction efforts and its engagement with Syria's neighbors will also be important in shaping post-war dynamics.

Economic and Security Challenges: Jordan's economic challenges, exacerbated by the refugee crisis and regional instability, will continue to influence its foreign policy. The kingdom's reliance on international aid and remittances from Gulf states makes it vulnerable to shifts in regional alliances and economic conditions. To ensure long-term stability, Jordan will need to diversify its economy, strengthen its domestic institutions, and maintain strong ties with its international allies.

Regional Geopolitical Shifts: The Middle East is undergoing significant geopolitical changes, including the normalization of relations between Israel and several Arab states, shifting power dynamics in the Gulf, and the influence of external powers like Iran, Turkey, and Russia. Jordan's ability to navigate these changes and maintain its role as a stabilizing force will be crucial. The kingdom's leadership will need to adapt its diplomatic strategies to align with new realities while preserving its core interests.

Potential for Regional Leadership: Jordan's reputation as a moderate and pragmatic actor in the Middle East positions it as a potential leader in regional diplomacy. The kingdom could play a key role in fostering dialogue and cooperation among Arab states, particularly in addressing shared challenges like counter-terrorism, water scarcity, and economic development. Jordan's experience in managing complex conflicts and its commitment to peace could make it a valuable partner in future regional initiatives.

In conclusion, Jordan's historical and modern role in Middle Eastern conflicts has been shaped by its strategic location, its diplomatic positions, and its ability to adapt to changing regional dynamics. As the Middle East continues to evolve, Jordan's leadership will face new challenges and opportunities. By maintaining its commitment to peace, stability, and moderation, Jordan can continue to play a pivotal role in shaping the future of the region.

IX. References

1. Anderson, B. (2016). *The Hashemites: A History of the Jordanian Royal Family*. Cambridge University Press.
2. Barari, H. (2008). *Jordan and Israel: A Troubled Relationship in a Volatile Region*. Palgrave Macmillan.
3. Bickerton, I. J., & Klausner, C. L. (2015). *A Concise History of the Arab-Israeli Conflict*. Pearson.
4. Cobban, H. (1984). *The Palestinian Liberation Organisation: People, Power and Politics*. Cambridge University Press.
5. Curtis, R. (1995). "The Jordan-Israel Peace Treaty: A Blueprint for Stability?" *Middle East Policy*, 3(2), 42-54.
6. Frisch, H. (2002). *Countdown to Statehood: Palestinian State Formation in the West Bank and Gaza*. SUNY Press.
7. Hinnebusch, R., & Ehteshami, A. (2002). *The Foreign Policies of Middle East States*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.
8. Kerr, M. H. (1971). *The Arab Cold War: Gamal 'Abd al-Nasir and His Rivals, 1958-1970*. Oxford University Press.
9. Khashan, H. (2013). *The Arabs and the Holocaust: The Arab-Israeli War of Narratives*. Routledge.
10. Ma'oz, M. (1999). *Jordan in the Middle East: The Making of a Pivotal State, 1948-1988*. Frank Cass Publishers.
11. Nevo, J. (1996). *King Hussein and the Evolution of Jordan's Perception of a Political Settlement with Israel, 1967-1988*. Sussex Academic Press.
12. Robins, P. (2004). *A History of Jordan*. Cambridge University Press.
13. Ryan, C. R. (2002). *Jordan in Transition: From Hussein to Abdullah*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.
14. Satloff, R. (1994). "Jordan-Israel Peace Treaty: The Role of the United States." *Middle East Review of International Affairs*, 2(4), 33-45.

15. Shlaim, A. (2009). *Lion of Jordan: The Life of King Hussein in War and Peace*. Penguin Books.

16. Tal, L. (2002). *War in Palestine, 1948: Strategy and Diplomacy*. Routledge.

17. Wilson, M. (1987). *King Abdullah, Britain and the Making of Jordan*. Cambridge University Press.

18. Yitzhak, R. (2013). *The Road to Jerusalem: Glubb Pasha, Palestine and the Jews*. I.B. Tauris.

19. Zubaida, S. (2011). *Beyond Islam: A New Understanding of the Middle East*. I.B. Tauris.

20. Al Jazeera. (2020). "Jordan's King Abdullah warns Israel annexation could lead to 'massive conflict'." *Al Jazeera*. Retrieved from https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2020/5/15/jordans-king-abdullah-warns-israel-annexation-could-lead-to-massive-conflict

21. BBC News. (2017). "Jordan's Zaatari Refugee Camp: A Temporary Home for Syrians." *BBC News*. Retrieved from https://www.bbc.com/news/world-middle-east-41767800

22. The Guardian. (2019). "Jordan's King Abdullah: Jerusalem 'Red Line' Must Not Be Crossed." *The Guardian*. Retrieved from https://www.theguardian.com/world/2019/jun/23/jordan-king-abdullah-jerusalem-red-line-israel

23. United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR). (2021). "Jordan: Fact Sheet." *UNHCR*. Retrieved from https://www.unhcr.org/jo/factsheet

24. U.S. Department of State. (2020). "U.S.-Jordan Relations." *Bureau of Near Eastern Affairs*. Retrieved from https://www.state.gov/u-s-relations-with-jordan/

25. Wilson, S. (2011). "The Role of the Hashemites in Preserving Jerusalem's Holy Sites." *Middle East Quarterly*, 18(1), 55-66.